

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,742	03/23/2004	Angela L. Chiu	1999-0148A CON	2567
26652 7590	06/14/2005		EXAM	INER
AT&T CORP.			NGUYEN, VAN KIM T	
P.O. BOX 4110				
MIDDLETOWN, 1	NJ 07748		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/806,742	CHIU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Van Kim T. Nguyen	2151			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja	nuary 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		· · ·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Trademark Office					

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to communications filed on January 13, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aukia et al (US 6,594,268).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, as shown in Figures 1-11, Aukia discloses a method for use in a network that carries packet traffic under at least two classes of service, best effort and better-than-best effort (QoS and non-QoS (BE) service class; abstract, col. 2: lines 1-36), comprising: determining individually for each of a plurality of links in the network whether a predetermined parameter (QoS provisioning commitments, link capacity and available bandwidth; col. 6: lines 7-18, and lines 59 – col. 7: lines 8) associated with the each link meets or exceeds a predetermined criterion, the parameter being a function of the amount of packet traffic over each link entitled to one of the classes of service and the amount of packet traffic over each of the link that is entitled to the other of the classes of service (col. 10: lines 53-62; and col. 17: line 64 – col. 18: line 27).

Regarding claim 2, Aukia also discloses setting a warning flag (trigger event; QoS advertisement packet) for each of the link for which the criterion is met (a condition requiring a new adaptive routing calculation, based on a predetermined schedule; col. 10: line 63 – col. 11: line 10; and col. 15: lines 34-52).

Regarding claim 3, Aukia also discloses providing dynamic subscriptions by using RSVP for aggregate packet traffic flows along any of the links (col. 3: lines 21-37, esp. lines 31-37).

Regarding claim 5, Aukia also discloses allowing a customer to request and modify the access rate for at least one of the classes of service (e.g., providing differential services to customers according to customers' demands; col. 1: lines 15-27).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed January 13, 2005 regarding claims 1-3 and 5-6 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that "... it is not clear what predetermined criterion the QOS provisioning commitments, link capacity or available bandwidth are compared against to determine if the criterion is met," (page 4: lines 4-9), and "... nothing in Aukia that describes that particular amounts of packet traffic on a link are entitled to different classes of service, as claim 1 recites", (page 4: lines 27-28), Applicant is respectfully invited to review Figures 5-10, where Aukia discloses initial values such as the QoS provisioning commitments are provided to define the network topology and network characteristics for *each* service class for *each* link 1 (e.g., Fig. 10, step 1001-1004; Fig. 8: steps 801-808; col. 21: lines 25-40; col. 24: lines 23-24).

The QoS routing module 406 classifies/determines existing packet flows (i.e., the amount of packets that flows in a given period of time) into real-time (one class of service) or non-real-time (the other class of service); and the packet flows can further be classified into multiplexable (one class of service) or non-multiplexable flows (the other class of service) based on whether

Page 4

the packet flows may mixed with other flow traffic on one or more links or are desirably assigned a dedicated link and dedicated capacity (col. 16: lines 28-42 and 64-67; col. 17: lines 27-35).

5. Applicant's arguments, see page 4, lines 16-19, filed January 13, 2005, with respect to claim 4 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 4 has been withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would 6. be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims are considered allowable when reading the claims, in light of the specification, none of the references of record alone or in combination disclose or suggest the combination limitations specified in the dependent claim including the parameter is the ration of the amount of packet traffic over the each link that is entitled to the one of the classes of service to the amount of packet traffic over the each link that is entitled to the other of the classes of services and wherein the criterion is that the ratio exceeds a predetermined threshold.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Van Kim T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,742

Art Unit: 2151

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Van Kim T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2151

vkn

ZARNI MAUNG PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER